

California Integrated Waste Management Board

Board Meeting

February 15-16, 2005

AGENDA ITEM 17

ITEM

Consideration Of A Second SB1066 Time Extension Application By The Following Jurisdictions:
El Dorado County Unincorporated And The City Of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County

I. ISSUE/PROBLEM STATEMENT

The jurisdictions listed in this item have submitted second Senate Bill (SB) 1066 Time Extension applications to the California Integrated Waste Management Board (Board). Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 41820 allows a jurisdiction that has not achieved the diversion requirement of PRC Section 41780 to petition for one or more time extensions to meeting the 50 percent diversion requirement for a maximum of five years; no extensions may be effective beyond January 1, 2006.

These jurisdictions' first SB1066 Time Extensions have ended, and despite their efforts to meet the timelines in their respective first Plan of Correction, they will need additional time to implement programs proposed in their first SB1066 Time Extension request, and/or additional programs. Staff's analysis of these second SB1066 Time Extension requests is that they are reasonable given the barriers the jurisdictions have faced, as explained in Attachments 1 and 2 of this item.

II. ITEM HISTORY

The Board approved these jurisdictions' first SB1066 Time Extension requests at its July 23, 2002 meeting. The Board granted both jurisdictions an extension until July 1, 2004 which was a reduced timeframe from the July 1, 2005 date they originally requested. The Board acknowledged at that time that a second time extension may be necessary at the conclusion of the first extension.

III. OPTIONS FOR THE BOARD

1. The Board may approve the jurisdictions' applications as submitted for a second extension to the 50 percent diversion requirement on the basis of their good faith efforts to-date to implement their first Plan of Correction and plans for future implementation.
2. The Board may approve the jurisdictions' applications as may be modified by the jurisdictions at the Board meeting.
3. The Board may approve the jurisdictions' applications as submitted but also make recommendations for one or more jurisdictions to implement alternative programs that it believes should be added to the new Plan of Correction for it to be successful.
4. The Board may make recommendations for the implementation of alternative programs that it believes one or more jurisdictions should add for their new Plan of Correction to be successful, and continue the item to the next Board meeting to allow the jurisdiction(s) time to revise its/their application.
5. The Board may disapprove one or more jurisdiction's application and allow the jurisdiction(s) to revise and resubmit the application based on the Board's specified reasons for disapproval.
6. The Board may disapprove one or more jurisdiction's application and direct staff to commence the process to issue a compliance order because the Board's specified reasons for disapproval cannot be addressed by a revised application.

IV. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Board adopt option No. 1: approve each jurisdiction's second SB1066 time extension request as submitted on the basis of their good faith efforts to-date to implement their first Plan of Correction and their plans for future program implementation.

V. ANALYSIS

A. Key Issues and Findings

1. Background

Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 41820 allows a jurisdiction that has not achieved the diversion requirement of PRC Section 41780 to petition for one or more time extensions to meeting the 50 percent diversion requirement for a maximum of five years; no extensions may be effective beyond January 1, 2006 (PRC Section 41820).

PRC Section 41820(b) further provides that:

"(1) When considering a request for an extension, the board may make specific recommendations for the implementation of alternative programs.

(2) Nothing in this section shall preclude the board from disapproving any request for an extension.

(3) If the board disapproves a request for an extension, the board shall specify its reasons for the disapproval."

The jurisdictions listed in this item have submitted a second SB1066 Time Extension application requesting more time to either:

- implement additional programs,
- overcome the barriers encountered during the first TE that kept them from implementing certain programs, or
- expand or fully implement programs in their first Plan of Correction.

The second SB1066 Time Extension applications address all of the requirements of a SB 1066 application and each includes a discussion as to why the jurisdiction needs additional time to implement the diversion programs listed in their second Plan of Correction.

2. Basis for staff's analysis

Staff's analysis is based upon the information below.

Existing Jurisdiction Conditions:

Jurisdiction	Preliminary Diversion Rates (Percent)					Key Jurisdiction Conditions			
	Base Year	1999	2000	2001	2002	Report Year Waste Stream Data			
						Pounds waste generated per person per day (ppd)	Population (2002)	Non- Residential Waste Stream Percentage	Residential Waste Stream Percentage
El Dorado County	1990	38	41	38	32	6.0	129,400	38.5%	61.5%
South Lake Tahoe	1990	39	42	48	37	15.7	23,950	67.1%	32.9%

Jurisdiction	Program Review Site Visit by Board Staff	Reporting Frequency	Proposed % Diversion Increase	Extension End Date	Is Time Request Appropriate? (yes/no)
El Dorado County	2004	Every 6 Months and final report	19%	12/31/2005	Yes
South Lake Tahoe	2004	Every 6 Months and final report	13%	12/31/2005	Yes

Staff Analysis of Second SB 1066 Applications:

Attachment 1 provides an overview of the following:

- The barriers faced by each jurisdiction to meeting the 50% diversion requirement within the *first* time extension, and the jurisdiction's explanation as to why additional time is necessary for meeting the diversion requirement;
- Staff's analysis of the reasonableness of the request;
- Diversion programs the jurisdictions are proposing to expand or newly implement in the second Plan of Correction (Section IV-A of the SB1066 Time Extension application), and their relationship to programs proposed for the first extension;
- Staff's analysis of whether the programs to be expanded or newly proposed are appropriate, given the barriers confronted in the first Time Extension period, and the jurisdiction's waste stream.

Plan of Correction:

A jurisdiction's SB1066 time extension request must include a Plan of Correction that:

- a. demonstrates meeting 50 percent before the time extension expires;
- b. includes recycling, and composting programs the jurisdictions will implement, as well as existing solid waste diversion programs they will modify;
- c. identifies the date when 50 percent will be achieved;
- d. identifies funding necessary for new and/or expanded programs.

Each jurisdiction's second Plan of Correction meets the above requirements. Board staff has also conducted an assessment of each jurisdiction's current program implementation, including a program review site visit. Based on Board staff's understanding of the relevant circumstances in the jurisdictions that contributed to their need for a second extension, Board staff believes the jurisdictions' proposed new Plans of Correction to be reasonable. The jurisdictions' requests and staff's analyses are explained in the attached matrix (Attachments 1 and 2) for each jurisdiction.

In addition, PRC Section 41820(d) directs Board staff to provide technical assistance to a jurisdiction that requests assistance in meeting the diversion requirements, such as identifying model policies and programs implemented by other jurisdictions of similar size, geography, and demographic mix. Lastly, a jurisdiction with a Board-approved time extension is required to include a summary of its progress in complying with its Plan of Correction in each annual report that is due prior to the end of the time extension [per PRC Section 41821(b)(5)]. Staff recommends that these jurisdictions be required to submit a six month progress report, as well as a final report at the end of their extensions with their annual report.

3. Findings

Staff determined that the Board may grant the requested second Time Extensions because they meet the requirements of PRC Section 41820; specifically:

- Each jurisdiction has submitted all required planning elements.
- Each jurisdiction is making a good faith effort to implement the programs identified in its SRRE and those proposed in its first Plan of Correction.
- Each jurisdiction has submitted a second Plan of Correction demonstrating that it will meet the diversion requirements by the time the extension expires including: the programs that it will expand or start implementing, the dates of implementation, and the means of funding.

A comprehensive list of each jurisdiction's SRRE-selected and implemented diversion programs is provided in Attachment 2. Because of the jurisdictions' efforts to-date and their plans for expanding those efforts to reach the 50 percent diversion requirement as outlined in their respective second Plan of Correction, staff is recommending approval of their second SB1066 time extension applications.

B. Environmental Issues

Based on available information, staff is not aware of any environmental issues related to this item.

C. Program/Long Term Impacts

Allowing these jurisdictions more time to implement diversion programs will help to increase waste diversion, both locally and statewide.

D. Stakeholder Impacts

Allowing these jurisdictions more time to implement new and expand existing diversion programs and to measure the impact these newly implemented and expanded programs have had on diversion will assist the jurisdictions to achieve the diversion requirements of PRC Section 41780.

E. Fiscal Impacts

No fiscal impact to the Board results from this item.

F. Legal Issues

As discussed above, this item represents the process for implementing PRC Section 41820 that allows jurisdictions to petition for more time to implement additional diversion programs to achieve the 50 percent diversion requirement for 2000, and allows the Board the discretion to grant these time extensions.

**G. Environmental Justice
Community Setting.**

<i>2000 Census Data – Demographics</i>							
Jurisdiction	% White	% Hispanic	% Black	% Native American	% Asian	% Pacific Islander	%Other
El Dorado County Unincorporated	89.1	5.7	0.5	0.8	1.4	0.1	0.1
South Lake Tahoe	63.6	26.7	0.6	0.7	5.9	0.1	0.2

2000 Census Data – Economic Data			
Jurisdiction	Median annual income*	Mean (average) income*	% individuals below poverty level
El Dorado County Unincorporated	51,484	67,083	7.1
South Lake Tahoe	34,707	45,944	12.5

* Per household

- **Environmental Justice Issues:** According to the jurisdictional representatives, there are no environmental justice issues related to this item in these communities.
- **Efforts at Environmental Justice Outreach:** These jurisdictions promote diversion programs to Spanish and English speaking residents.
- **Project Benefits.** The expansion of the existing, and implementation of the additional programs and facilities listed in this item will help to increase the jurisdictions' diversion rates.

H. 2001 Strategic Plan

This item supports Strategic Plan goal 2, objective 3 (Support local jurisdictions' ability to reach and maintain California's waste diversion mandates), strategy (D) (Assess and assist local governments' efforts to implement programs and reduce disposal, taking corrective action as needed) by assessing the jurisdictions' efforts to implement programs and reduce disposal.

This item also supports Strategic Plan goal 7, objective 1 (Promote source reduction to minimize the amount of waste generated, strategy (B) (Continue to work with jurisdictions to ensure they meet and/or exceed existing waste diversion mandates) by demonstrating staff's continual efforts to work with jurisdictions to ensure they meet and/or exceed the waste diversion mandates.

VI. FUNDING INFORMATION

This item does not require any Board fiscal action.

VII. ATTACHMENTS

1. Time Extension Matrix for El Dorado County Unincorporated
2. Time Extension Matrix for City of South Lake Tahoe
3. El Dorado County's Second 1066 Time Extension Application
4. City of South Lake Tahoe's Second 1066 Time Extension Application
5. Diversion Rate, Base Year and Program Listing for El Dorado County
6. Diversion Rate, Base Year and Program Listing for City of South Lake Tahoe
7. Resolution Number 2005-41

VIII. STAFF RESPONSIBLE FOR ITEM PREPARATION

A. **Program Staff:** Kyle W. Pogue

Phone: (916) 341-6246

B. **Legal Staff:** Elliot Block

Phone: (916) 341-6080

C. **Administrative Staff:** N/A

Phone: N/A

IX. WRITTEN SUPPORT AND/OR OPPOSITION

A. Support

County of El Dorado

City of South Lake Tahoe

B. Opposition

Staff had not received any written opposition at the time this item was submitted for publication.

El Dorado County's Second Time Extension Application Matrix

Barriers/Reason for Second Time Extension	Staff's Analysis
<p>Barriers in setting up mixed-waste processing facilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> County officials requested a three-year time extension and planned to site and permit two mixed-waste composting facilities. One facility was to be sited on the Western Slope of El Dorado County in the Placerville area while the other was planned for the Eastern Slope of the County within the City of South Lake Tahoe. The South Lake Tahoe facility is currently in the permitting process and when constructed will serve the City and County residents of the Eastern slope of the County. Plans for the facility on the West Slope of the County were dropped in lieu of other waste diversion programs. The County originally requested until the end of 2005 in its first time extension application because of the complexities of siting such this type of facility, but the Board shortened the first extension timeline and directed the County to submit a second time extension request if necessary. The County released a Request for Proposals for the construction and implementation of the mixed waste composting facility on the West Slope but did not receive a qualifying offer for such a facility. Instead, the current waste hauler proposed an alternative to this facility that will increase residential and commercial recycling programs on the West Slope of the County. In order to implement expanded recycling programs on the West Slope, it was necessary to amend the existing franchise agreements and gain support from rate payers. <p>Reasons for Second Time Extension:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The County still projects a 3 percent increase in diversion from the East Slope mixed-waste composting facility. More time is needed to realize those results. The County projects a 6 percent increase in diversion from residential and commercial programs implemented in place of the West Slope mixed waste composting facility. More time is needed to realize those results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The County requested proposals for implementation of the West Slope facility and received two proposals, neither of which were deemed to be sufficient. Therefore, the County opted to pursue expanded residential curbside recycling and greenwaste programs and commercial recycling programs instead of implementing the mixed waste composting program. Implementation of the alternative programs (residential and commercial source separated recycling) is underway and should be completed well within the time frame of this extension. The East Slope facility is currently moving forward. Land for the facility has been secured, a bidding process was completed, and the contractor has been selected. The East Slope facility is now involved in the permitting process. Permitting in the Tahoe basin is overseen by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), a bi-state regulatory agency with a 15-member governing board. The TRPA has stringent requirements upon permit applicants due to the sensitive environmental nature of Lake Tahoe. According to the City, the TRPA is currently reviewing the proposal. This mixed waste composting technology is untested in California, so additional planning and construction time may be unavoidable. If the technology proves feasible and reliable, however, the facility could be a model for other areas.

<p>Barriers in Construction and Demolition programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• County officials wanted three years to implement and see results from a Construction and Demolition Ordinance. The ordinance, which was adopted on Sept. 30, 2003, requires a 50 percent diversion rate for each permitted project, with provisions for reporting back to the county.• The C&D ordinance will be complemented by continued public education about re-use options and better utilization of an existing C&D sort line located at the West Slope Materials Recovery Facility (MRF)• Increased C&D capabilities at the MRF will be enhanced and encouraged through decreased tipping fees for clean materials.• Increased C&D processing capability on the East Slope is tied to approval of the expansion of the South Lake Tahoe MRF, which is pending approval. <p>Reasons for Second Time Extension</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The diversion results from the first construction projects completed under the new C&D ordinance are just now being received and reviewed by the County.• The expansion of the South Lake Tahoe MRF will increase C&D diversion and will require additional time for completion.	<p>Staff analysis of construction and demolition barriers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The County took the lead in developing the C&D ordinance, which is currently in place. Allowing a year or more to see the full benefits from such an ordinance is reasonable.• Recycling and reuse options are available for C&D materials generators both within the County and in neighboring jurisdictions. The West Slope MRF does offer decreased tip fees for clean materials and also has an operational C&D sorting line. Habitat for Humanity offers an outlet for reusable building materials and other materials recyclers are available in neighboring Sacramento County.• County staff are working with C&D materials generators to identify diversion options available.• The South Lake Tahoe MRF offers reduced rates for clean wood waste and the impending expansion of the facility will allow further recovery of C&D materials. This facility services both City and County residents in the Tahoe area.
--	--

<p>Barriers in recycling programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To replace the diversion originally to be achieved by the West Slope mixed waste composting facility, the County has begun implementation of expanded residential and commercial recycling programs. In order to implement these programs, franchise agreements had to be amended to reflect these programs selected by the County and several Community Services Districts on the West Slope. Additionally, new service rate schedules had to be developed, infrastructure procured and programs rolled out in a systematic way.• Approximately 1,300 commercial customers will gain access to commingled collection of recyclables under the proposed program expansion. This will be part of standard garbage service, and will include larger venues such as schools, apartment complexes, ski resorts and marinas. It is feasible to double the amount of commercial recycling tonnage under this proposal, compared to the previous situation. Expanded commercial recycling became available in much of El Dorado in December, 2004. <p>Reasons for Second Time Extension</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Expanded commingled recycling service for approximately 20,000 residences will begin no later than March 2005 and be fully implemented no later than June 2005. This timeframe is necessary to purchase and distribute bins; modify collection routes; and educate participants.• Expanded recycling service for 1300 commercial accounts has already begun and will continue into the future.	<p>Staff analysis of recycling barriers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The transition from the mixed waste composting concept to a source separated program for residential and commercial waste generators will most likely be good solution for the West Slope of El Dorado County. The West Slope contains areas of densely populated residential areas mixed with commercial developments. Moving to commingled recycling service for these areas should result in a significant increase in recycling participation and the associated recycling tonnage needed to reach the County's diversion needs.• The residents in rural areas of the County will also have access to recycling service if they subscribe to waste/recycling service through the franchised waste service provider. For residents who do not receive this service, drop-off options are available when waste and recycling materials are self-hauled.• The source-separated materials collected through the residential and commercial recycling programs will be hauled to a large "clean" MRF located in Lodi. The smaller MRF located on the West Slope of the County will still be used to sort recyclables from the mixed waste stream.
--	--

Plan of Correction	Staff's Analysis	Estimated Percent Diversion
<p>2000-RC-CRB</p> <p>In addition to existing weekly trash service, approximately 20,000 residential ratepayers will gain access to a 64 or 96 gallon cart for recyclables at no extra charge. Recyclables will be collected once every two weeks and will be delivered to a MRF in Lodi for processing. Implementation is slated to begin in March 2005, with full implementation expected by June, 2005. County staff anticipate that this program will increase residential recycling tonnages by 50 percent or more.</p>	<p>The transition from a small capacity curbside program utilized by a limited number of residents to a large volume commingled curbside program will most likely increase diversion tonnages significantly. Consistent and continual education will be necessary to ensure the effectiveness of this program. The County plans an array of information using various media to educate program participants.</p>	<p>4.0 %</p>
<p>2030-RC-OSP / 2050-RC-SCH</p> <p>Approximately 1,300 commercial customers will gain access to a semi-automated collection system as part of their standard waste service. Cardboard, mixed paper and beverage containers will be targeted. Businesses, schools, apartments, large venues (such as ski areas and marinas), will be targeted. Service is scheduled to begin in December, 2004. The County hopes to double its commercial diversion with this program.</p>	<p>Many of these targeted customers do not currently have recycling service, so a big increase in tonnage could be achieved immediately. Strong educational efforts will also be critical, and are part of the County's plans.</p>	<p>2.0 %</p>
<p>3000-CM-RGW</p> <p>In addition to trash and recycling, some 20,000 residential customers on the West Slope will gain access to a 64 or 96 gallon cart for green waste. The cart will be collected once every two weeks for an additional charge of \$2.00 per month. The collection schedule will be identical to recycling. The County hopes collected yard waste will double as a result of this effort.</p>	<p>Residents, until now, have not had access to a curbside greenwaste program. This program will significantly increase the amount of diversion achieved.</p>	<p>4.0 %</p>
<p>4060-SP-CAR</p> <p>Concrete, asphalt and rubble. Implementation of the C&D Ordinance, adopted on Sept. 30, 2003, should increase recycling of C&D wastes. Continued public education efforts; use of the West Slope MRF C&D sort line; use of the Habitat for Humanity Restore and other area C&D recyclers should result in increased diversion.</p>	<p>The first completed construction projects permitted under the new ordinance are being reviewed for diversion approval. With increased enforcement of the C&D Ordinance in conjunction with the expansion of the MRF which will facilitate greater C&D diversion, and the promotion of diversion options in and around the County, this program should increase the County's diversion rate significantly.</p>	<p>6.0%</p>

7050-FR-OTH		
Implementation of a mixed waste composting facility on the East Slope of the County will convert the mixed solid waste residuals into a useful product which can be sold or used on public grounds.	Once successfully sited, this facility could increase diversion beyond the 3% diversion rate projected here.	3.0%
Total Estimated diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs		19.0 %
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report		32.0 %
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated		51.0 %

Supporting Programs	
5010-ED-PRN	
The County will continue to promote diversion awareness through printed media, such as new resident packages and visitor guides. Written materials will also be provided during the roll out of the new residential and commercial recycling programs.	The County's web site is well designed and delivers all pertinent recycling information. The County, through the waste service provider, will promote these new programs through various printed promotional materials facilitated by a newly hired ombudsman.
5020-ED-OUT	
Outreach activities include participation at the County Fair, the Kid's Expo, Ag Education Day, during the busy seasons at Apple Hill, and among the outdoor enthusiasts who use the Rubicon Trail.	Consistent promotion of the recycling programs will be essential to ensure long-term success.
6020-PI-ORD	
The County's Construction and Demolition Ordinance became effective on Sept 30, 2003. It requires individuals or businesses constructing or demolishing projects with structure footprints greater than 5,000 square feet to recycle at least 50 percent of the debris generated. Approximately 670 projects are presently subject to the ordinance and have filed the required forms.	It is still too early to assess the effectiveness of this ordinance on diversion. If it does not successfully drive diversion of construction materials, the County will need to evaluate other alternatives to capture that material. The County plans to encourage the development of a policy that requires the use of recycled aggregate for road maintenance and construction. This would greatly increase the markets for recycled materials.

City of South Lake Tahoe's Second Time Extension Application Matrix

Barriers/Reason for Second Time Extension	Staff's Analysis
<p>Barriers in setting up the mixed-waste composting facility.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> City officials estimated it would take at least three years to site and permit this new type mixed-waste composting system because of the strict planning and permitting rules in the Tahoe Basin. The City originally requested until the end of 2005 in its first time extension application because of the complexities of siting such a facility in Lake Tahoe, but the Board shortened the first extension timeline and directed the City to submit a second time extension request if necessary. The city needs more composting capacity before it can ramp up separation of compostables from the municipal waste stream and other composting diversion programs. Collecting extra compostable materials at this point would not result in additional diversion, as there is no place to sort or store it. The city is dependent upon the efforts of franchised haulers for much of its diversion efforts. <p>Reasons for Second Time Extension:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> City originally requested time extension for three years, but was only given two years. The city believes it can get a 5 percent increase in diversion from composting programs by the end of 2005, and even more once the facility realizes its full potential. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City has purchased the land for the facility and is currently involved in the environmental review and permitting process. Permitting in the Tahoe basin is overseen by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), a bi-state regulatory agency with a 15-member governing board. The TRPA has stringent requirements upon permit applicants due to the sensitive environmental nature of Lake Tahoe. According to the City, the TRPA is currently reviewing the proposal. This mixed waste composting technology is untested in California, so additional planning and construction time may be unavoidable. If the technology proves feasible and reliable, however, the facility could be a model for other areas. The City identified in its application that South Tahoe Refuse, the City's franchised waste hauler, has committed \$18 million to this facility expansion.
<p>Barriers in the Construction and Demolition programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City originally committed to adopt a Construction and Demolition Ordinance by January of 2003. The City chose to work with the County of El Dorado to develop an ordinance that could then be modified to meet the City's needs. The County's ordinance became effective in October of 2003. Due to City staff turnover, the City's Ordinance is planned for adoption early in 2005. Increased C&D diversion will occur at the South Tahoe Refuse Materials Recovery Facility. The expansion of this MRF is necessary to allow for increased diversion of this material. As noted above, this expansion is currently being evaluated by the TRPA. <p>Reasons for Second Time Extension</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The expansion of the existing MRF to accommodate increased C&D diversion driven by the new C&D ordinance should result in a 6 percent diversion improvement by the end of 2005. 	<p>Staff analysis of construction and demolition barriers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City's C&D ordinance has been developed and is currently being reviewed by the City Council. It is anticipated that a final ordinance will be adopted early in 2005. Although this ordinance has not yet been adopted, the new City Manager has acknowledged the City's commitment to adopting the ordinance and diverting C&D material The South Tahoe Refuse MRF has been successful in diverting large amounts of C&D materials by utilizing its existing facility. With an expansion to this facility supported by the City's C&D Ordinance, the City should be able to realize a significant increase in its diversion rate. South Tahoe Refuse also offers decreased tipping fees for clean loads of C&D materials which encourage increased recycling.

Plan of Correction	Staff's Analysis	Estimated Percent Diversion
<p>2050-RC-GOV / 2060-RC-SCH</p> <p>Government and School Recycling. The City used grant funds from the Department of Conservation's Division of Recycling to increase beverage container recycling programs at schools, public venues, government offices, ski resorts and marinas within the City.</p>	<p>The City has increased the number of beverage container recycling options available at all of the locations listed here. This program has become successful and will remain that way only through on-going maintenance and education. The City will continue to receive funds from the Division of Recycling to expand these types of programs further.</p>	1.0 %
<p>2030-RC-OSP</p> <p>Commercial On-site Pick-up. The City's solid waste hauler is exploring opportunities for increased recycling of cardboard and inert materials from commercial customers.</p>	<p>South Tahoe Refuse provides drop boxes from 6 to 30 yards for cardboard, glass, steel, newspaper, plastic and tin for commercial generators. They also offer commercial on-site drop boxes for wood and stumps, concrete, and asphalt. There are reduced drop box fees for clean loads of wood and inert materials. STR does provide some on-site collection of recyclable materials but has room to expand this program.</p>	1.0 %
<p>4060-SP-CAR / 4050-SP-WDW</p> <p>Wood Waste and Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble: Expansion of the MRF, in conjunction with a C&D ordinance, will drive an estimated additional 4,100 tons of diversion by the end of 2005. Increased public education regarding C&D diversion opportunities will also help increase diversion.</p>	<p>The City has worked closely with South Tahoe Refuse to ensure that these estimates of C&D diversion are realistic and achievable.</p>	6.0 %
<p>7050-FR-OTH</p> <p>Other Facility Recovery: Implementation of a mixed waste composting facility combined with increased sorting of compostables at the MRF, will convert the City's mixed solid waste residuals into a useful end product.</p>	<p>This proposed technology is new to California but holds promise to divert significant amounts of previously disposed material. The City, County of El Dorado and South Tahoe Refuse are confident that this technology will, through a conservative estimation, divert 5 percent of the City's wastestream with the potential for higher diversion rates in the future.</p>	5.0%
Total Estimated diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs		13.0 %
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report		37.0 %
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated		50.0 %

Supporting Programs	
<p>5000-ED-ELC, 5010-ED-PRN, 5020-ED-OUT</p> <p>Public Education and Information programs: These will be enhanced to increase awareness of existing, expanded, and new programs and to better inform residents, business operators, and construction contractors of the importance of diverting materials. A recycling video was produced which is shown at city council meetings and to business groups; it may also be shown in the schools. Print media is also used, and the city is considering improvements to its web site.</p>	<p>Currently, the city relies on the county's web site to deliver recycling information. The City and South Tahoe Refuse need to expand their efforts to develop websites that promote the recycling programs available.</p>
<p>6010-PI-EIN, 6020-PI-ORD</p> <p>The County passed a C&D diversion ordinance in September, 2003. The City is in the process of developing a C&D Ordinance modeled after the County's ordinance. The City's solid waste service provider is also encouraging clean loads of inert materials to be delivered at the existing MRF for a discounted gate fee.</p>	<p>Although not identified in the second time extension application, the City plans to provide increased outreach to C&D waste generators and commercial businesses that are eligible for recycling programs.</p>

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this request sheet and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.


Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25)
1001 I Street
PO Box 4025
Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification <i>All respondents must complete this section.</i>			
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:			
Jurisdiction Name El Dorado County Environmental Management Department		County El Dorado County	
Authorized Signature 		Title Director	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing Jon A. Morgan	Date June 7, 2004	Phone (530) 621-5360	
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Valerie Kauffman		Title Supervising EHS	
Phone (530)621-6587	E-mail Address vkauffman@co.el-dorado.ca.us	Fax (530)626-7130	
Mailing Address 2850 Fairlane Court	City Placerville	State CA	ZIP Code 95667

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The County has and continues to implement nearly all of its selected programs. El Dorado County was amongst the first agencies to have its Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CIWMP) Five-Year Review approved by the CIWMB. The County is very active in grant program implementation and has worked with CIWMB staff to assess the effectiveness in program implementation.

Though the County continues to rely upon the resources and contributions of private enterprises, it continues to monitor and encourage its waste management service providers to implement increased diversion programs.

The County also acknowledges that it is responsible for AB 939 and continues to strive to fully implement, as well as expand, its selected programs.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

The County Waste Management Task Force (AB 939 Local Task Force) meets every six weeks and provides input to the County and Cities' AB 939 programs and compliance activities.

The County participates, when able, in regional recycling group and rural county meetings for program development and public education. These groups are the Regional Recycling Group coordinated by the Waste Management & Recycling Department of Sacramento County and the Regional Council of Rural Counties Environmental Services Joint Powers Authority (ESJPA).

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

- 1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.**

Initially, El Dorado County requested a time extension in July 2002 for a period of three years, but was instead granted an initial time extension ending in July 2004 with an understanding that a 2nd time extension would possibly be granted by the CIWMB at a later date. The key elements identified in the County's 1st time extension included the development of two mixed waste processing facilities and the implementation of a construction and demolition debris diversion program. At this time, one of two mixed waste processing facilities has been proposed and the C&D program has been implemented. As an alternative to a mixed waste processing facility on the West slope, a significantly expanded curbside recyclables and yard waste collection program is being implemented in the areas serviced by El Dorado Disposal Services Co., Inc., in addition to an expansion of existing materials processing capabilities and an expanded commercial recyclables collection diversion program. Various temporary barriers have been identified: (1) the East slope facility is presently undergoing environmental review and preliminary permitting; (2) the C&D program was premised upon the development of an ordinance which was recently adopted by the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors on 9/30/03; and (3) the expanded West slope programs have required additional public support by ratepayers and modification of existing franchise agreements. Thus, the impact of these programs has not yet been realized and an additional time extension will allow for further development of programs selected in the first time extension, as well as new programs identified in the application for a second extension.

NOTE: C & D Ordinance has been in place since October 30, 2003. Some projects have been completed and the first requests for diversion approval are coming into EDCEMD. Additionally, franchise agreement modifications have been approved. The implementation of the expanded curbside recycling and yard waste collection programs will begin in February or March 2005. Completion should occur by May or June 2005. Some data will be available by the end of this extension request period. Residents will have many options available from the collection service menu but over time both EDSI and EDCEMD believe that the majority of residents will see the value of the three cart system and make that selection.

- 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.**

The County is requesting additional time to develop all of the programs selected in the plan of correction for the 1st time extension and to promote expansion of additional diversion programs. Specifically, the 1st time extension has not provided a sufficient amount of time to develop, design, permit, and construct a mixed waste composting facility on the East slope or to expand the residential/commercial collection program for recyclables on the West slope. The lengthy permit process for the mixed waste composting facility has begun in South Lake Tahoe. The project is presently under review and environmental analysis with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency. Construction of the facility is anticipated by mid to late 2005. Additionally, the County has been challenged by growth issues and experienced a significant increase in its estimated waste generation during the period 2000-2004. Despite the increased effectiveness of selected diversion programs, the County's diversion rate has decreased in 2001 and 2002. An extension to December 2005 will allow time for the procurement and arrival of equipment necessary to expand the residential/commercial recyclable collection services on the West slope. Accordingly, the County is also reviewing data for a more accurate reporting of disposal tonnage and assessing the extent of diversion program implementation throughout the County. The County is committed to program implementation and desires to work with the CIWMB staff to develop a reasonably accurate quantification of its waste generation and diversion rate measurement.

NOTE: In December 2004, the County will be submitting a New Base Year Request that will more accurately reflect the waste generation and diversion totals in EDC.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The County has and continues to implement nearly all of its selected programs. El Dorado County was amongst the first agencies to have its Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CIWMP) Five-Year Review approved by the CIWMB. The County is very active in grant program implementation and has worked with CIWMB staff to assess the effectiveness in program implementation.

Though the County continues to rely upon the resources and contributions of private enterprises, it continues to monitor and encourage its waste management service providers to implement increased diversion programs.

The County also acknowledges that it is responsible for AB 939 and continues to strive to fully implement, as well as expand, its selected programs.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

The County Waste Management Task Force (AB 939 Local Task Force) meets every six weeks and provides input to the County and Cities' AB 939 programs and compliance activities.

The County participates, when able, in regional recycling group and rural county meetings for program development and public education. These groups are the Regional Recycling Group coordinated by the Waste Management & Recycling Department of Sacramento County and the Regional Council of Rural Counties Environmental Services Joint Powers Authority (ESJPA).

Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

Not Applicable

2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?

Not Applicable

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

Not Applicable

4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

Not Applicable

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		61.5%	Non-residential %		38.5%
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
7050-FR-OTH Other Facility Recovery	New	Implementation of a mixed waste composting facility for the East slope service area. The new facility will be constructed to supplement the existing MRF operations NOTE: Property has been purchase and project permit and environmental review process continue. Facility construction should begin by mid to late 2005. Full completion should occur in 2006	Rates	2006	3%
2000-RC-Residential Curbside	Expand	Expansion of the residential curbside program by EDSI in the West slope area. In addition to existing weekly garbage service, approximately 20,000 residential ratepayers will have access to a 64 or 96 gallon cart for recyclables at no extra charge on a bi-weekly basis. Materials will be transported to a clean MRF in Lodi for separation thereby increasing diversion. NOTE: Implementation should begin by March 2005 and be completed by June 2005. Service menu options are enclosed. Approximately 6 months of data will be available after implementation. Over time, it is possible that the commingled recycling cart could increase diversion by at least 50% of what is collected currently.	Rates	12/31/05	4%
2030-RC-Commercial Onsite Pickup 2050-RC-School Recycling	Expand	Expansion of commercial recyclables collection by EDSI in West slope service area. Approximately 1300 commercial ratepayers will gain access to a semi-automated collection system for various recyclables such as cardboard, mixed paper, and beverage containers, as part of standard garbage service. In an effort to establish a recycling infrastructure at larger commercial operations in El Dorado County, co-mingled recycling is being targeted at locations such as schools, apartment complexes, ski resorts, and marinas. NOTE: EDSI will begin offering commercial accounts expanded recycling service in December 2004. Material recovery could possibly double under this commingled system.	Rates	12/31/05	2%
4060-SP-CAR Asphalt, Concrete, Rubble	Expand	Implementation of the C&D Ordinance, adopted on September 30, 2003, to realize diversion impact on generated C&D wastes. C & D diversion shall be accomplished through continued public education about reuse options and continued use of the large material sort line at the local MRF in Diamond Springs and other C&D recyclers. NOTE: C & D Ordinance was effective October 30, 2003. The first completed projects are being reviewed for diversion approval.	Rates	10/30/03	6%

3000-CM-Residential GW Collection	Expand	Expansion of curbside collection of yard waste by EDSI in West slope service area. In addition to existing garbage service, approximately 20,000 residential ratepayers will have access to a 64 or 96 gallon cart for green waste on a bi-weekly basis at an additional charge of \$2.00 per month NOTE: This new cart system will have the same implementation and completion schedule as the expanded curbside recycling program. Collected yard waste collected could increase by at much as 50%.	Rates	12/31/05	4%
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					19%
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					32%
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					51%

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
6020-PI-ORD Policy	Expand	On September 30, 2003, the County adopted a construction and demolition (C&D) debris recycling ordinance. The C&D Ordinance requires individuals or businesses demolishing or constructing projects with structure footprints exceeding 5,000 square feet in area to recycle at least 50% of the construction or demolition debris generated. Approximately 670 building/demolition projects are presently subject to the C & D Ordinance and have filed the required recycling acknowledgement form. Each permittee shall file a recycling report within 60 days of final occupancy approval in order to document C & D diversion activities. The County plans to encourage the development of a policy to require the utilization of recycled aggregate for road maintenance and construction projects, as well. NOTE: Ordinance became effective October 30, 2003.	10/30/03
5010-ED-PRN Outreach	Expand	The County will continue to promote diversion awareness through various written media, such as new resident packages, visitor guides, the local movie theater, as well as the Environmental Management website. Written materials will be distributed as part of the introduction for the new semi-automated collection services, as well.	12/31/05
5020-ED-OUT	Expand	Recycling outreach activities continue at various public events, such as the County Fair, Kid's Expo, and Ag Education Day. Recycling outreach events are also planned for the upcoming Apple Hill season and outdoor enthusiasts at the Rubicon Trail.	12/31/05

Section IV B—GOAL ACHIEVEMENT

Goal Achievement describes the activities the jurisdiction will use to achieve the ADR.
Attach additional sheets if necessary..

Residential %		Non-residential %			
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG/Central/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
Not Applicable					
		Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs			
		Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report			
		Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated			

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED

Section V – PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this request sheet and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25)
1001 I Street
PO Box 4025
Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:


For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification

All respondents must complete this section.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:

Jurisdiction Name City of South Lake Tahoe		County El Dorado County	
Authorized Signature 		Title City Manager	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing David M. Jinkens	Date June 9, 2004	Phone (530) 542-6045	
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Valerie Kauffman		Title Supervising EHS Environmental Management Department El Dorado County	
Phone (530)621-6587	E-mail Address vkauffman@co.el-dorado.ca.us		Fax (530)621-7130
Mailing Address 2850 Fairlane Court	City Placerville	State CA	ZIP Code 95667

This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.

1. Eligibility

Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?

☐ No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.

☒ Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.

2. Specific Request and Length of Request

Please specify the request desired.

☒ **Time Extension Request**

Specific years requested _ to December 31, 2005 _____

Is this a second request? ☐ No ☒ Yes Specific years requested. _2004, 2005 _____

(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)

☐ **Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (*Not allowed for Regional Agencies*).**

Specific ADR requested _ _____%, for the years _ _____.

Is this a second ADR request? ☐ No ☐ Yes Specific ADR requested _ _____%, for the years _ _____

(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)

Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

- 1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.**

Initially, the City requested a time extension in July 2002 from the CIWMB for a period of three years, but was instead granted an extension for 2 years ending in July 2004 with the understanding that an opportunity to request a 2nd time extension would be possible at a later date. The key elements identified in the City's 1st request for a time extension included: (1) the development of a mixed waste processing facility; and (2) the development and implementation of a C&D debris diversion program prompted by the enactment of an ordinance. Both of these programs have been impacted by time constraints. Permitting projects in the Tahoe Basin is a lengthy process involving multiple jurisdictions at local, State, and Federal levels.

NOTE: Although the property has been purchased, the permit and environmental process continue. Facility construction should begin by mid to late 2005. Full completion should occur in 2006. South Tahoe Refuse has committed to an investment of \$18,000,000 for the facility expansion which will include the Herhof Technology for processing organics and mixed waste.

Additionally, the City, through its solid waste service provider, devoted staff resources for the development of a County C&D debris diversion ordinance. The City preferred to work with the countywide effort first, then develop an ordinance which would apply to projects in the City. Both of these programs are continuing and progress is being made, particularly since the City Manager prioritized these programs. The City feels that the additional 18 months of time, from 7/1/04 to 12/31/05, will provide the necessary time for implementation of these programs and the resulting reduction in disposal levels.

- 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.**

The City is requesting additional time to develop all of the programs selected in the Plan of Correction of its 1st time extension and to promote additional expansion of diversion programs. The 1st time extension has not provided a sufficient amount of time to develop, design, permit, and construct a mixed waste processing facility on the East slope. The lengthy permit process for the mixed waste composting facility has been initiated in South Lake Tahoe. The project is presently under review and environmental analysis with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency. Additionally, a traffic analysis to measure vehicular impact of additional truck activity is underway as well.

Phase I of the project (facility expansion and increased separation of green waste) will be completed by July 1, 2005.

NOTE: The project construction will begin by mid or late 2005. Completion of Phase 1 should occur in 2006.

- 3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.**

The City has implemented all but two of its selected programs, with slower progress on the mixed waste processing facility and the C&D Ordinance. The City, in coordination with the County, has expanded beverage container recycling programs at large venues and schools. More specifically, with the assistance of Department of Conservation grant funds, local ski resorts and marinas are establishing an infrastructure for better beverage container recycling with local residents and the multitude of visitors in the area. Outreach information is being provided at local public events, such as Sustainable Slopes Day, Earth Day, and the Tahoe Firefest event. Written recycling information is provided at these events, as well as in the local newspaper. The City, in partnership with South Tahoe Refuse staff, promotes recycling curriculum at the Kids for Tahoe program, as well as various other school oriented programs. The City, in coordination with the County and South Tahoe Refuse staff, is active in grant implementation for effective program implementation.

Though the City continues to rely upon the resources and contributions of a local private enterprise, it continues to monitor and encourage its waste management service provider to implement increased diversion programs.

The City also acknowledges that it is responsible for AB 939 and will strive to fully implement its selected programs.

NOTE: The City's Purchasing Department currently has internal policy guidelines for the procurement of recycled content products.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

The City has participated on the County Waste Management Task Force (AB 939 Local Task Force) which meets periodically on a quarterly basis and provides input to the County and City's AB 939 programs and compliance activities. Additionally, the City plans to refill the position previously responsible for waste management activities.

Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

Not Applicable

2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?

Not Applicable

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

Not Applicable

4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

Not Applicable

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		32.9%	Non-residential %		67.1%
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
7050-FR-OTH Other Facility Recovery	New	<p>Implementation of a mixed waste composting facility for the East slope service area. The new facility will be constructed to supplement the existing MRF operations and is designed to convert the City and County's residual mixed solid waste, that is currently being landfilled, into useful and marketable products and resources.</p> <p>Project procurement efforts began approximately 2 years ago. The facility proposed by STR will integrate existing MRF operations with Herhof green waste and residual waste stream composting technologies. As written, the project is being implemented in three phases. The City and County recognize that it is unlikely for the mixed solid waste processing facility to be fully operational by July 1, 2005. However, the City and County are confident that Phase I (facility expansion and increased separation of green waste) will be completed by September 30, 2005. STR projects that Phase I will mean up to 7,500 more tons of green waste will be diverted each year from vegetative materials generated in the City, County, and neighboring Douglas County. Accordingly, an increase of 3,424 tons in waste diversion is projected for the City. Phase II (construction of three biocells and one biofilter) and Phase III (construction of two additional biocells and one additional biofilter), which will realistically take beyond July 1, 2005 to complete, will increase the eastern slope's diversion an additional amount.</p> <p>The lengthy permit process for the mixed waste composting facility has been initiated in South Lake Tahoe. The project is presently under review and environmental analysis with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency. Additionally, a traffic analysis to measure vehicular impact of additional truck activity is underway as well.</p> <p>NOTE: Phase 1 is set to have construction begin in mid to late 2005. Completion should occur in 2006.</p>	The facility will be funded primarily by STR and the County's solid waste ratepayers	<p>An estimated 5% increase in diversion will occur by 12/31/05. Overall, it is anticipated that an even greater increase in waste diversion will occur once the facility is fully operational.</p> <p>2006</p>	5%

4060-SP-CAR Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble 4050-SP-WDW Wood Waste	Expand	Implementation of the C&D Ordinance, targeted for adopted by Fall 2004, to realize diversion impact on generated C&D wastes. C & D diversion shall be accomplished through continued public education about reuse options and continued use of the sort line at the local MRF in South Lake Tahoe and other C&D recyclers. The expansion of the existing MRF, in conjunction with the first phase of the mixed waste processing facility, will provide adequate space for increased diversion activities. An estimated increase in diversion of 4,109 tons is projected by the end of 2005.	City building permit applicants	12/31/05	6%
2060-RC-GOV Government Recycling 2050-RC-SCH School Recycling	Expand	With the help of Department of Conservation (DOC) grant funds, the City will continue to expand its beverage container recycling program at ski resorts, marinas, parks, other public venues, schools, and government offices. The increased diversion targeted is approximately 685 tons.	DOC City/ County Grant Funding	Ongoing program that will continue beyond December 2005	1%
2030-RC-Commercial Onsite Pickup	Expand	The City's solid waste service provider will explore opportunities for increased cardboard and inert materials recycling from their commercial customer base. The increased diversion targeted is approximately 685 tons.	Collection rates	7/1/05	1%
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					13%
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					37%
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					50%

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
6010-PI-EIN Economic Incentives 6020-PI-ORD Policy	New/ Expand	The County passed a C&D diversion ordinance in September, 2003. The City is in the process of developing a C&D Ordinance modeled after the County's ordinance. The City's solid waste service provider is also encouraging clean loads of inert materials to be delivered at the existing MRF for a discounted gate fee.	12/31/04
5010-ED-PRN Print	Expand	The City will continue to promote and communicate with affected businesses and the public at large to emphasize the need to divert through various printed media, such as brochures and flyers.	12/31/05 (Full Implementation)
5000-ED-ELC Electronic 5010-ED-OUT Outreach	Expand	The City is working with South Tahoe Refuse on the development of a video to be used at City Council meetings and by STR with business groups to promote recycling. Consideration is also being given to the feasibility of featuring recycling information through the internet (STR website, City website). NOTE: Video has been completed and may be used in the schools also.	8/30/04

Section IV B—GOAL ACHIEVEMENT

Goal Achievement describes the activities the jurisdiction will use to achieve the ADR.
Attach additional sheets if necessary..

Residential %		Non-residential %			
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG/Central/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm					
Not Applicable					
		Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs			
		Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report			
		Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated			

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED

Section V – PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES
(continued)

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
5030-ED-SCH-Schools	Expand	El Dorado County, with the support of the City of South Lake Tahoe and South Tahoe Refuse, is working to expand its school outreach program. In 2002, the County contracted with the Sacramento Theatre Company to present recycling programs to 16 schools, including two schools in South Lake Tahoe. The County plans to provide additional school presentations on waste reduction, reuse and recycling.	This ongoing program will continue to expand beyond December 31, 2005.

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
El Dorado-Unincorporated**

Page 1
Date Printed
December 23, 2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status Reason	1996 Status Reason	1997 Status Reason	1998 Status Reason	1999 Status Reason	2000 Status Reason	2001 Status Reason	2002 Status
1010-SR-BCM Backyard and On-Site Composting/Mulching	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
1020-SR-BWR Business Waste Reduction Program	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
1030-SR-PMT Procurement	Y	Y	1991	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
1050-SR-GOV Government Source Reduction Programs	N	Y	1993	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
1060-SR-MTE Material Exchange, Thrift Shops	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2000-RC-CRB Residential Curbside	N	Y	1992	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2010-RC-DRP Residential Drop-Off	Y	Y	1993	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2020-RC-BYB Residential Buy-Back	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2030-RC-OSP Commercial On-Site Pickup	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2050-RC-SCH School Recycling Programs	N	N	1995	AI	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or
city was not incorporated or city
part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
El Dorado-Unincorporated**

Page 2
Date Printed
December 23, 2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status Reason	1996 Status Reason	1997 Status Reason	1998 Status Reason	1999 Status Reason	2000 Status Reason	2001 Status Reason	2002 Status
2060-RC-GOV Government Recycling Programs	N	N	2000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AI	AO	AO
2070-RC-SNL Special Collection Seasonal (regular)	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2080-RC-SPE Special Collection Events	N	N	1993	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO
3000-CM-RCG Residential Curbside Greenwaste Collection	N	Y	1996	NI 99	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
3010-CM-RSG Residential Self-haul Greenwaste	N	Y	1996	NI 1	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
3030-CM-CSG Commercial Self-Haul Greenwaste	N	Y	1996	NI 1	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
3060-CM-GOV Government Composting Programs	N	N	2000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AI	AO	AO
3070-CM-OTH Other Composting	N	N	1996	PF	AI	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO
4010-SP-SLG Sludge (sewage/industrial)	Y	Y	2000	DE	DE	DE	DE	SI	SO	SO	SO
4020-SP-TRS Tires	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or
city was not incorporated or city
part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
El Dorado-Unincorporated**

Page 3
Date Printed
December 23,2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status Reason	1996 Status Reason	1997 Status Reason	1998 Status Reason	1999 Status Reason	2000 Status Reason	2001 Status Reason	2002 Status
4030-SP-WHG White Goods	N	Y	1993	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
4040-SP-SCM Scrap Metal	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
4050-SP-WDW Wood Waste	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
4060-SP-CAR Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble	N	N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AI	AO	AO	AO
4090-SP-RND Rendering	Y	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
5000-ED-ELC Electronic (radio ,TV, web, hotlines)	N	Y	NA	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
5010-ED-PRN Print (brochures, flyers, guides, news articles)	N	Y	NA	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
5020-ED-OUT Outreach (tech assistance, presentations, awards, fairs, field trips)	N	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
5030-ED-SCH Schools (education and curriculum)	N	Y	1993	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
6010-PI-EIN Economic Incentives	N	Y	1993	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or city was not incorporated or city part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
El Dorado-Unincorporated**

Page 4
Date Printed
December 23, 2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status	Reason	1996 Status	Reason	1997 Status	Reason	1998 Status	Reason	1999 Status	Reason	2000 Status	Reason	2001 Status	Reason	2002 Status	
6020-PI-ORD Ordinances	N	Y	2000	NI	99	NI	99	NI	99	NI	99	NI	99	SI		SO		SO	
6030-PI-OTH Other Policy Incentive	N	Y	2000	D	99	DE		DE		DE		DE		SI		SO		SO	
7000-FR-MRF MRF	N	Y	1996	NI	1, 3	SI		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO	
7010-FR-LAN Landfill	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		D	7	DE	7	DE	7	DE	99	DE	99	DE	99
7020-FR-TST Transfer Station	N	Y	1995	SI		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO	
7030-FR-CMF Composting Facility	N	Y	2000	NI	4	NI	4	NI	4	NI	4	NI	4	SI		SO		SO	
7040-FR-ADC Alternative Daily Cover	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO	
8020-TR-TRS Tires	N	Y	1993	SO		D	99	DE		DE		DE		DE	7	DE	7	DE	7
9000-HH-PMF Permanent Facility	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO	
9010-HH-MPC Mobile or Periodic Collection	Y	Y	1991	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO	

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or
city was not incorporated or city
part of Regional Agency

D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.

6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
El Dorado-Unincorporated**

Page 5
Date Printed
December 23, 2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status Reason	1996 Status Reason	1997 Status Reason	1998 Status Reason	1999 Status Reason	2000 Status Reason	2001 Status Reason	2002 Status
9020-HH-CSC Curbside Collection	N	N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	PF
9030-HH-WSE Waste Exchange	N	Y	NA	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
9040-HH-EDP Education Programs	Y	Y	1992	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
9050-HH-OTH Other HHW	N	N	2002	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AI

Add any additional programs below

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or city was not incorporated or city part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
South Lake Tahoe**

Page 1
Date Printed
December 23,2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status	Reason	1996 Status	Reason	1997 Status	Reason	1998 Status	Reason	1999 Status	Reason	2000 Status	Reason	2001 Status	Reason	2002 Status
1010-SR-BCM Backyard and On-Site Composting/Mulching	N	Y	1994	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
1020-SR-BWR Business Waste Reduction Program	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
1030-SR-PMT Procurement	N	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
1050-SR-GOV Government Source Reduction Programs	Y	Y	1993	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
1060-SR-MTE Material Exchange, Thrift Shops	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
2010-RC-DRP Residential Drop-Off	N	Y	1993	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
2020-RC-BYB Residential Buy-Back	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
2030-RC-OSP Commercial On-Site Pickup	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
2050-RC-SCH School Recycling Programs	N	N	1995	AI		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO
2060-RC-GOV Government Recycling Programs	N	N	1990	AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or
city was not incorporated or city
part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
South Lake Tahoe**

Page 2
Date Printed
December 23,2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status	Reason	1996 Status	Reason	1997 Status	Reason	1998 Status	Reason	1999 Status	Reason	2000 Status	Reason	2001 Status	Reason	2002 Status
2070-RC-SNL Special Collection Seasonal (regular)	Y	Y	1993	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
2080-RC-SPE Special Collection Events	N	N	1993	AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO
3000-CM-RCG Residential Curbside Greenwaste Collection	N	N	NA	PF		PF		PF		PF		PF		PF		PF		PF
3010-CM-RSG Residential Self-haul Greenwaste	N	Y	1996	NI 1		SI		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
3030-CM-CSG Commercial Self-Haul Greenwaste	N	Y	1996	NI 1		SI		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
3060-CM-GOV Government Composting Programs	N	N	1992	AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO
4010-SP-SLG Sludge (sewage/industrial)	N	Y	NA	NI 99		NI 99		NI 99		NI 99		NI 99		NI 99		NI 99		NI 99
4020-SP-TRS Tires	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
4030-SP-WHG White Goods	N	Y	1993	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
4050-SP-WDW Wood Waste	N	Y	1996	NI 1		SI		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or
city was not incorporated or city
part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
South Lake Tahoe**

Page 3
Date Printed
December 23,2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status	Reason	1996 Status	Reason	1997 Status	Reason	1998 Status	Reason	1999 Status	Reason	2000 Status	Reason	2001 Status	Reason	2002 Status
4090-SP-RND Rendering	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
5000-ED-ELC Electronic (radio ,TV, web, hotlines)	N	Y	1992	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
5010-ED-PRN Print (brochures, flyers, guides, news articles)	N	Y	1992	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
5020-ED-OUT Outreach (tech assistance, presentations, awards, fairs, field trips)	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
5030-ED-SCH Schools (education and curriculum)	Y	Y	1990	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
6010-PI-EIN Economic Incentives	N	Y	1997	DE		DE		SI		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
6020-PI-ORD Ordinances	N	Y	1994	SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
6030-PI-OTH Other Policy Incentive	N	Y	1998	NI 99		NI 99		NI 99		SI		SO		SO		SO		SO
7000-FR-MRF MRF	N	Y	1995	SI		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO		SO
7020-FR-TST Transfer Station	N	N	1995	AI		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO		AO

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or city was not incorporated or city part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Application: PARIS

Office of Local Assistance
**Program Listing for
South Lake Tahoe**

Page 4
Date Printed
December 23, 2004

Program Code Reason	Existed?	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start Date	1995 Status Reason	1996 Status Reason	1997 Status Reason	1998 Status Reason	1999 Status Reason	2000 Status Reason	2001 Status Reason	2002 Status
7030-FR-CMF Composting Facility	N	Y	1997	NI 1	NI 1	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
7040-FR-ADC Alternative Daily Cover	Y	Y	1990	SO	D 99	DE 99	DE 99	DE 99	DE 99	DE 99	DE 99
8020-TR-TRS Tires	Y	Y	1990	SO	D 7	DE 7	DE 7	DE 7	DE 7	DE 7	DE 7
9000-HH-PMF Permanent Facility	N	Y	1995	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
9010-HH-MPC Mobile or Periodic Collection	N	Y	1991	SO	D 7	DE 7	DE 7	DE 7	SI 99	SO	SO
9030-HH-WSE Waste Exchange	N	Y	1991	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	D 99	SI	SO
9040-HH-EDP Education Programs	Y	Y	1992	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO

Add any additional programs below

Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing
AO = Alternative Ongoing
SI = Selected Implemented
AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist or
city was not incorporated or city
part of Regional Agency
D = Dropped
DE = Dropped in Earlier Year
NI = Selected and Not Implemented
PF = Planned Future
NA = Program did not exist

Reason Code Legend

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities online.
2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
4 = Insufficient funding.
5 = Insufficient staffing.
6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
7 = Sufficient diversion without selected program.
8 = Lack of markets necessary to support program.
9 = Other

Application: PARIS

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Resolution 2005-41

Consideration Of A Second SB1066 Time Extension Application By The Following Jurisdictions: El Dorado County Unincorporated And The City Of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County

WHEREAS, Senate Bill (SB) 1066 modified Public Resources Code (PRC) Sections 41820 and 41785 for multiple year and multiple requests from jurisdictions for Time Extensions or Alternative Diversion Requirements in meeting the 50 percent diversion requirement; and

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved each of the above-listed jurisdictions' first SB1066 Time Extension Application; and

WHEREAS, the jurisdictions have subsequently found that they need additional time to either implement, fully implement, or expand those programs described in their respective second SB1066 Time Extension requests; and

WHEREAS, based on staff's review of the jurisdictions' progress to-date in implementing the programs described in their respective first Plan of Correction, Board staff believes that each jurisdiction has made a good faith effort to implement those programs, but needs additional time to either implement, fully implement, or expand the programs described in its second Plan of Correction; and

WHEREAS, the jurisdictions have submitted the necessary information and documentation required in a completed SB1066 Time Extension application;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby accepts these jurisdictions' second SB 1066 Time Extension applications for a second extension through December 31, 2005, to implement their respective SRREs and to meet the 50 percent diversion requirement.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned Executive Director, or his designee, of the California Integrated Waste Management Board does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the California Integrated Waste Management Board held on February 15-16, 2005.

Dated:

Mark Leary
Executive Director